

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,544	09/23/2003	Thaddeus John Gabara	90-6	2117
7590 05/26/2006		EXAMINER		
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP			CASCA, FRED A	
90 Forest Avenue Locoust Valley, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			DATE MAILED, ASIACIONA	,

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/668,544	GABARA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred A. Casca	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 A</u>	pril 2006.		
	s action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the state of the state	nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the lead of a by the lead of a by the lead in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected or by the lead of the lead o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections -35 U.S.C. 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-5, 8,17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Karaoguz (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0203889 A1).

Referring to claim 1, Karaoguz discloses a method for use in a wireless network comprising a plurality of user devices adapted for communication with at least one access point device (Figure 2-5, and paragraphs 17, 19, and 22, "wireless . . . network . . . containing an Access Point . . . devices 220a, 220b"), the method comprising the steps of

initiating a test of a communication link between at least one of the user devices and the access point device the test comprising a determination of data throughput performance (Figs. 2-5, and paragraphs 17, 19, 22, 38, and 40-44, "evaluate and assess the location information", "determine a primary optimal location", "recommend and provide the optimal information to the wireless device", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", note that the optimal location for communication is determined and recommended, hence it is inherent that a test is performed so that the optimal positions are determined. Further note that

Art Unit: 2617

specific locations within the cell configuration provide specific data throughput performance. The data throughput performance of each location within the cell is inherently determined in order to provide the data rate capacity for each particular location since each location within the cell has a known data rate capacity, where optimum location is consequently determined according to such data rate capacity), and

generating, based at least in part on a result of the test, an instruction displayable to a user associated with a given one of the user devices, the instruction being indicative of a location at which the given user device is expected to obtain a particular level of data throughput performance (paragraphs 7-9, and 40-44, Abstract, Figures 1-5, "device makes its evaluation and assessment of what is or are optimal location(s)", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", "additional information . . . data capabilities information such as data rate", "optimal location information", "a recommendation signal", "configuration device can recommend and provide the optimal information to the wireless device . . . as shown on FIG. 5", "device makes its evaluation and assessment of what is or are optimal location(s)", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", note that test (evaluation and assessment) is initiated so that optimal locations are determined. Further note that data throughput performance (data rate) information is provided with corresponding optimal locations, hence it is inherent that the test comprises a determination of data throughput performance. Further note that test (evaluation and assessment) is performed to determine what is optimal location and a recommendation signal is transmitted to the wireless device as a result of the test and inherently displayed as on figure 5).

Referring to claim 2, Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the test comprises a test of a communication link between the given user device and the access point device (paragraphs 6-8, and 40-44).

Referring to claim 3, Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the test comprises a test of a communication link between one of the user devices, other than the given user device, and the access point device (figures 2-5, and paragraphs 6-8, and 40-44).

Art Unit: 2617

Referring to claim 4, Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the location comprises a location at which the given user device is expected to obtain a maximum achievable level of data throughput performance (Abstract, Figures 2-5, and paragraphs 0006, 0041-0042, "optimal location information", "optimal locations").

Referring to claim 5, the Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the given user device is at a current location, and the instruction is indicative of another location associated with an improved level of data throughput performance relative to that of the current location (figures 2-5 and 0040-0044, "optimal location information", "optimal locations", note that one or more optimal location information is provided and the user can at any time choose the optimal location for better communication).

Referring to claim 8, Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the test comprises a test of at least one of an uplink communication channel between the user device and the access point device and a downlink communication channel between the user device and the access point device (paragraphs 0040-0044, note that data rate capacity is tested to determine best access point, hence at least one of an uplink communication channel between the user device and the access point device and a downlink communication channel between the user device and the access point device is tested).

Referring to claim 17, Karaoguz discloses an apparatus for use in a wireless network including a plurality of user devices adaptable for communication with at least one access point device (Figure 2-5, and paragraphs 17, 19, and 22, "wireless . . . network . . . containing an Access Point . . . devices 220a, 220b"), the apparatus comprising

a processing device having a processor coupled to a memory, the processing device comprising at least one of a user device and an access point device of the wireless network wherein the processing device is configurable to initiate a test of a communication link associated with at least one of the user devices, the test comprising a determination of data throughput performance (Figs. 2-5, and paragraphs 17, 19, 22, 38, and 40-44, "evaluate and assess the location information", "determine a primary optimal location", "recommend and

Art Unit: 2617

provide the optimal information to the wireless device", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", note that the optimal location for communication is determined and recommended, hence it is inherent that a test is performed so that the optimal positions are determined and inherently a processor exists so that such determination is provided where the processor is inherently coupled to the memory. Further note that specific locations within the cell configuration provide specific data throughput performance. The data throughput performance of each location within the cell is inherently determined in order to provide the data rate capacity for each particular location since each location within the cell has a known data rate capacity, where optimum location is consequently determined according to such data rate capacity determination).

to generate, based at least in part on a result of the test, an instruction displayable to a user associated with a given one of the user devices, the instruction being indicative of a location at which the given user device is expected to obtain a particular level of data throughput performance (paragraphs 6-9, and 40-44, Abstract, Figures 1-5, "device makes its evaluation and assessment of what is or are optimal location(s)", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", "additional information . . . data capabilities information such as data rate", "optimal location information", "optimal locations", "a recommendation signal", "Access Point", "device makes its evaluation and assessment of what is or are optimal location(s)", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", note that test (evaluation and assessment) is initiated so that optimal locations are determined. Further note that data throughput performance (data rate) information is provided with corresponding optimal locations, hence it is inherent that the test comprises a determination of data throughput performance. Further note that test (evaluation and assessment) is performed to determine what is optimal location and a recommendation signal is transmitted to the wireless device as a result of the test).

Referring to claim 18, Karaoguz discloses a communication system (Abstract, Figures 1-5, and paragraphs 7-9) comprising a wireless network including a plurality of user devices adaptable for communication with at least one access point device (FIGS. 1-5, Figure 2-5, and paragraphs 17, 19, and 22, 38 and 40-44 "wireless...network... containing an Access Point...

Art Unit: 2617

devices 220a, 220b"), wherein a test of a communication link between at least one of the user devices and the access point device is initiated the test comprising a determination of data throughput performance (Figs. 2-5, and paragraphs 17, 19, 22, 38, and 40-44, "evaluate and assess the location information", "determine a primary optimal location", "recommend and provide the optimal information to the wireless device", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", note that the optimal location for communication is determined and recommended, hence it is inherent that a test is performed so that the optimal positions are determined. Further note that specific locations within the cell configuration provide specific data throughput performance. The data throughput performance of each location within the cell is inherently determined in order to provide the data rate capacity for each particular location since each location within the cell has a known data rate capacity, where optimum location is consequently determined according to such data rate capacity), and

based at least in part on a result of the test, an instruction displayable to a user associated with a given one of the user devices is generated, the instruction being indicative of a location at which the given user device is expected to obtain a particular level of data throughput performance (paragraphs 7-9, and 40-44, Abstract, Figures 1-5, "device makes its evaluation and assessment of what is or are optimal location(s)", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", "additional information . . . data capabilities information such as data rate", "optimal location information", "a recommendation signal", "configuration device can recommend and provide the optimal information to the wireless device . . . as shown on FIG. 5", "device makes its evaluation and assessment of what is or are optimal location(s)", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", note that test (evaluation and assessment) is initiated so that optimal locations are determined. Further note that data throughput performance (data rate) information is provided with corresponding optimal locations, hence it is inherent that the test comprises a determination of data throughput performance. Further note that test (evaluation and assessment) is performed to determine what is optimal location and a recommendation signal is transmitted to the wireless device as a result of the test and inherently displayed as on figure 5).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

Referring to claim 19, Karaoguz discloses an article of manufacture comprising a machine-readable storage medium storing one or more software programs for use in a wireless network (figures 2-5, and paragraphs 7-8, 17-18, and 40-44, "a method of optimally configuring a wireless cell network . . . implemented in hardware, or software")

comprising a plurality of user devices adapted for communication with at least one access point device wherein the one or more programs when executed implement the steps of initiating a test of a communication link between at least one of the user devices and the access point device, the test comprising a determination of data throughput performance (Figs. 2-5, and paragraphs 17, 19, 22, 38, and 40-44, "evaluate and assess the location information", "determine a primary optimal location", "recommend and provide the optimal information to the wireless device", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", note that the optimal location for communication is determined and recommended, hence it is inherent that a test is performed so that the optimal positions are determined. Further note that specific locations within the cell configuration provide specific data throughput performance. The data throughput performance of each location within the cell is inherently determined in order to provide the data rate capacity for each particular location since each location within the cell has a known data rate capacity, where optimum location is consequently determined according to such data rate capacity); and

generating, based at least in part on a result of the test, an instruction displayable to a user associated with a given one of the user devices, the instruction being indicative of a location at which the given user device is expected to obtain a particular level of data throughput performance (paragraphs 7-9, and 40-44, Abstract, Figures 1-5, "device makes its evaluation and assessment of what is or are optimal location(s)", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", "additional information . . . data capabilities information such as data rate", "optimal location information", "a recommendation signal", "configuration device can recommend and provide the optimal information to the wireless device . . . as shown on FIG. 5", "device makes its evaluation and assessment of what is or are optimal location(s)", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", note that test (evaluation and assessment) is initiated so that optimal locations are determined. Further note that data throughput performance (data rate) information is provided with corresponding optimal

Art Unit: 2617

locations, hence it is inherent that the test comprises a determination of data throughput performance. Further note that test (evaluation and assessment) is performed to determine what is optimal location and a recommendation signal is transmitted to the wireless device as a result of the test and inherently displayed as on figure 5).

Referring to claim 20, Karaoguz discloses a method for use in a wireless network (Figure 2-5, and paragraphs 17, 19, and 22, 38 and 40-44 "wireless . . . network . . . containing an Access Point . . . devices 220a, 220b") comprising a plurality of user devices adapted for communication with at least one access point device (Figure 2-5, and paragraphs 17, 19, and 22, 38 and 40-44 "wireless . . . network . . . containing an Access Point . . . devices 220a, 220b"), the method comprising the steps of initiating a test of a communication link between a user device at a current location and an access point device, the test comprising a determination of data throughput performance (Figs. 2-5, and paragraphs 17, 19, 22, 38, and 40-44, "evaluate and assess the location information", "determine a primary optimal location", "recommend and provide the optimal information to the wireless device", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", note that the optimal location for communication is determined and recommended, hence it is inherent that a test is performed so that the optimal positions are determined. Further note that specific locations within the cell configuration provide specific data throughput performance. The data throughput performance of each location within the cell is inherently determined in order to provide the data rate capacity for each particular location since each location within the cell has a known data rate capacity, where optimum location is consequently determined according to such data rate capacity); and

generating, based at least in part on a result of the test, an instruction displayable to a user associated with the user device, the instruction being indicative of another location associated with an improved level of data throughput performance relative to that of the current location (paragraphs 7-9, and 40-44, Abstract, Figures 1-5, "device makes its evaluation and assessment of what is or are optimal location(s)", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", "additional information . . . data capabilities information such as data rate", "optimal location information", "a recommendation signal", "configuration device can recommend and provide the optimal information to the wireless device . . . as shown on FIG. 5",

Art Unit: 2617

"device makes its evaluation and assessment of what is or are optimal location(s)", "corresponding optimal locations along with the corresponding data rates", note that test (evaluation and assessment) is initiated so that optimal locations are determined. Further note that data throughput performance (data rate) information is provided with corresponding optimal locations, hence it is inherent that the test comprises a determination of data throughput performance. Further note that test (evaluation and assessment) is performed to determine what is optimal location and a recommendation signal is transmitted to the wireless device as a result of the test and inherently displayed as on figure 5).

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0203889 A1, Karaoguz, in view of U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0203698 A1, Comp.

Referring to claim 6, Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1.

Karaoguz does not disclose the generated instruction is displayable on a display screen of the user device.

Comp discloses a pre-notification of potential connection loss in a wireless network where instruction is displayable on a display screen of the user device for a potential connection loss (Paragraphs 0022, "display", "notification", "potential loss").

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Karaoguz by providing the generated instruction to be displayable on a display screen of the user device, as suggested by Comp, motivation being for the purpose of providing the best available signal strength for the user, and consequently making wireless users happy.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0060995 A1, Cervello et al., in view of U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0203889 A1, Karaoguz, and further in view of U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0017858 A1, Kraft et al.

Referring to claim 7, Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2617

Karaoguz does not disclose the generated instruction is displayable on a display screen that is not part of the user device.

Kraft discloses a data entry method where data is displayed in different display units (Paragraphs 0010 and 0027, "third display").

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Karaoguz by providing the generated instruction to be displayable on a display screen that is not part of the user device, as suggested by Kraft, motivation being for the purpose of providing the strongest available signal strength for the user, and consequently making wireless users happy.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0203889 A1, Karaoguz, in view of U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0052232 A1, Ramaswamy et al.

Referring to claim 13, Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1.

Karaoguz does not disclose the generating step utilizes information derived from a global positioning system (GPS) in determining the location at which the given user device is expected to obtain a particular level of data throughput performance.

Ramaswamy discloses utilizing global positioning system (GPS) in determining the location at which the given user device is expected to obtain a particular level of data throughput performance (Paragraphs 0017, 0019-0020, and 0022).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Karaoguz by providing the generating step to utilize information derived from a global positioning system (GPS) in determining the location at which the given user device is expected to obtain a particular level of data throughput performance, as suggested by Ramaswamy, motivation being for the purpose of providing a reliable tracking system.

8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0203889 A1, Karaoguz, in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,813,501 B2, Kinnunen et al.

Referring to claim 14, Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2617

Karaoguz does not disclose the generated instruction comprises an indication of a particular area within a given facility.

Kinnunen discloses a location dependent services method, where a particular area within a given facility is chosen for mobile terminals (col. 4, lines 42-67).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Karaoguz by providing the generated instruction to comprise an indication of a particular area within a given facility, as suggested by Kinnunen, motivation being for the purpose of providing a strong signals in particular areas where communication is likely to experience weak signals.

9. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0203889 A1, Karaoguz, in view of U.S. Pub. No. US 2002/0044528 A1, Pogrebinsky et al.

Referring to claim 10, Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1 wherein the test comprises a test sequence involving transmission between the at least one user device and the access point device.

Karaoguz does not specifically disclose the test comprises a test sequence involving the transmission of a plurality of known packets at different bit rates between the at least one user device and the access point device.

Pogrebinsky discloses a method and apparatus for measuring network bandwidth where the method involves a test sequence involving the transmission of a plurality of known packets at different bet rates (abstract, and paragraphs 3, 5, 6, 13-14, 26, 28-29, and 41, "estimating present network bandwidth, transmitting test packets for measuring the available bandwidth, and adjusting the bandwidth... by changing packet transmission bitrate").

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teachings of Pogrebinsky into that of Karaoguz and consequently providing test to comprise a test sequence involving the transmission of a plurality of known packets at different bit rates between the at least one user device and the access point device, motivation being for the purpose of saving time in performing measurements and avoiding overloading the network.

Art Unit: 2617

10. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0203889 A1, Karaoguz, in view of U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0060995 A1, Cervello et al.

Referring to claim 16, Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1.

Karaogus does not specifically the user device is compatible with at least one of the 802.11a standard, the 802.11b standard and the 802.11g standard.

Cervello discloses user device is compatible with at least one of the 802.11a standard, the 802.11b standard and the 802.11g standard (Abstract, and paragraphs 0003, 0006-0008, 0011, 0022-0023, 0025, 0037 and 0045).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teachings of Cervello into that of Karaogus and providing user device to be compatible with at least one of the 802.11a standard, the 802.11b standard and the 802.11g standard, motivation being for the purpose of providing the benefits of 802.11a standard, the 802.11b standard and the 802.11g standard, and expanding the network to such standards.

11. Claim 9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0203889 A1, Karaoguz, in view of well known prior art (MPEP 2144.03).

Referring to claim 9, Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1.

Karaoguz does not disclose the test is initiated in conjunction with access to a server connected to the access point via a network.

The examiner takes official notice of the fact that it is well known in the art for access points to be connected to servers via a network.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the test to be initiated in conjunction with access to a server connected to the access point via a network, motivation being for the purpose of providing an efficient and robust network.

Referring to claim 15, the Karaoguz disclose the method of claim 1 (as rejected above).

Art Unit: 2617

Karaoguz does not specifically disclose the generated instruction comprises an indication of a particular seating location in a group of seating locations within a given facility.

The examiner takes official notice of the fact that it is well known in the art for an access location to be a particular seating location in a group of seating location as it is well known that a person with a wireless computer laptop with operating the laptop while seated.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the generated instruction to comprise an indication of a particular seating location in a group of seating locations within a given facility, motivation being for the purpose of providing an convenience for the user of a wireless device by being seated.

12. Claim 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0203889 A1, Karaoguz, in view of U.S. Pub. No. US 2002/0044528 A1, Pogrebinsky et al, and further in view of well known prior art (MPEP 2144.03).

Referring to claim 11, the combination of Karaoguz/Pogrebinsky disclose the method, of claim 10.

The combination of Karaoguz/Pogrebinsky does not specifically disclose the test sequence is initiated by the at least one user device, and the packets are transmitted to the access point device and returned from the access point device to the at least one user device.

The examiner takes official notice of the fact that it is well known in the art for user devices or mobile station to initiate testing and sending the initial data packets to an access node or base station.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the testing to be initiated by the user device and providing packets to be transmitted to the access point device and returned from the access point device to the at least one user device, because it would allow the user to be proactive and test throughput without waiting for a report from the network.

Referring to claim 12, the combinations of Karaoguz/Pogrebinsky disclose method of claim 10.

The combinations of Karaoguz/Pogrebinsky does not specifically disclose the test sequence is initiated by the access point device, and the packets are transmitted from the access point device to the at least one user device.

The examiner takes official notice of the fact that it is well known in the art for access points and/or base stations to initiate testing and sending the initial data packets to a user device or mobile terminal.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the testing to be initiated by the access point and providing the test sequence to be initiated by the access point device, and the packets to be transmitted from the access point device to the at least one user device, because it would provide user convenience, and users do not have to take any steps to initiate determining throughput.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments, filed on April 14, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Pogrebinsky (US Pub. No. 2002/0044528 A1), and the case is opened

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred A. Casca whose telephone number is (571) 272-7918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid, can be reached at (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 2617

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER